ABSTRACT

We argue in favor of Docker containers as alternatives to clusters of servers or virtual machines for students in introductory programming courses. We present our experience with the same since 2015 in CS50 at Harvard University as well as the pedagogical and operational motivations therefor. We present, too, the evolution of our environments for students over the years, from an on-campus cluster, to an off-campus cloud, to client-side virtual machines, to Docker containers, discussing the trade-offs of each. Not only do containers provide students with a standardized environment, reducing technical difficulties and frequently asked questions at term’s start, they also provide instructors with full control over the software in use and versions thereof, additionally allowing instructors to deploy updates mid-semester. Particularly for large courses with hundreds or even thousands of students, containers allow staff to focus more of their time on teaching than on technical support. And, coupled with text editors that support extensions or plugins, containers allow instructors to optimize students’ environment for learning, while still acquainting students with industry-standard tools. Most recently implemented atop GitHub Codespaces, a cloud-based version of Visual Studio Code, our own container-based solutions have since been used by more than 700,000 students and teachers, both on campus and off, and are also freely available to any teacher or student outside of our own university.

CCS CONCEPTS
• Social and professional topics → CS1; • Applied computing
→ Computer-assisted instruction.

KEYWORDS
code, code editor, container, containerization, Docker, editor, graphical user interface, GUI, integrated development environment, IDE, Kubernetes, programming, text editor, web app, web application

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ACM Reference Format:

1 INTRODUCTION

CS50 is Harvard University’s introductory course in programming for majors and non-majors alike, taught primarily in C toward its start and primarily in Python toward its end. The course also introduces students to SQL and JavaScript (along with HTML and CSS) in preparation for the course’s capstone, a final project for which most students implement a web application.

The course is among Harvard’s largest, with approximately 500 students each fall, 100 students each spring, and a smaller number of students each summer. More than half of the students have never taken a course in computer science before, and more than half describe themselves as being among “those less comfortable” with computing. The course itself is intended to be accessible to students of all backgrounds, with or without prior programming experience, with different tracks within the course for those less comfortable and more comfortable alike, whereby students can attend different sections (i.e., recitations) and implement (somewhat) different programs each week based on their comfort level [31].

With so many students (and, in turn, so many versions of Windows, macOS, and Linux), it would be a challenge to have everyone install and configure the requisite software on their own for the course’s assignments, including clang, gdb, valgrind, and python3. Even Python’s installer, which is perhaps easiest, doesn’t necessarily update students’ $PATH accordingly. Even in smaller classes with fewer languages, start-of-term setup has not proved, in our experience, the best use of time. At worst, the least comfortable of students might conclude that programming simply isn’t for them if they can’t even get their computer set up.

We have thus long provided students with a standardized programming environment, so as to focus immediately at term’s start on concepts and implementation thereof rather than technical difficulties. We first provided such using the university’s own on-campus cluster of Linux servers on which students had shell accounts and NFS-mounted home directories, accessible via SSH. Without root access, though, we found it difficult to configure their accounts exactly as we wanted, and so we transitioned to a similar topology of our own in the cloud [30]. Managing that cluster ourselves proved more time-consuming than intended, and so we eventually migrated to client-side virtual machines (VMs), a la Stoker et al. [43] and Harvie et al. [22], with each student running their own Linux “appliance” [32]. While VMs did standardize students’ environment, they tended to be slow, especially on lower-end laptops. And so we returned to the cloud, this time using Docker [14] containers, much like Valstar et al. [48] but with an addition of browser-based graphical user interfaces (GUIs), initially via AWS Cloud9 [8] and, most recently, Visual Studio Code [9] atop GitHub Codespaces [10]. Not only did containers enable us to standardize programming environments, both server-side and client-side, they
proved far lighter-weight, faster for staff to develop and for students to run. Coupled with web-based GUIs (complete with code editors, file explorers, and terminal windows), they have enabled us to provide students with full-featured programming environments in the cloud. And students can even install the same client-side at term’s end, thereby continuing to write code locally even without the course’s own infrastructure, and without having had to figure out how right at term’s start.

In this work, we present our path to containers and web-based programming environments atop them, including the pedagogical and technological motivations therefor. In Section 2, we elaborate on the advantages and disadvantages of our prior approaches. In Section 3, we explain our motivation for Docker. In Section 4, we discuss implementation details and trade-offs among them. In Section 5, we present our results and next steps. In Section 6, we conclude.

2 PRIOR APPROACHES

Whether on-campus or off-campus, server-side or client-side, CS50 has long sought to standardize students’ programming environments to minimize technical difficulties at term’s start. We present in this section our prior approaches to motivate our recommended approach in Section 3 so that others need not reinvent wheels.

Were CS50 entirely focused on Python, or even a language like JavaScript, students could write and execute code with a browser alone [5, 36, 37, 42, 46], without any server-side infrastructure (beyond a static website). But we have not found browser-based compilers or interpreters for C to be nearly as robust, although technologies like WebAssembly [50] with Emscripten [18] are promising. And, pedagogically, we indeed prefer to start with C and end with Python, so that students ultimately understand the abstractions that higher-level languages provide. We’d ideally like students to acquire experience with a full-featured Linux command line as well, though browser-based emulation of Linux tends to be slow and lacking in support for libraries and package management [26, 51].

In-browser solutions would also complicate CS50 students’ development of web apps in Python with Flask [19] at course’s end, as browsers’ security models do not allow in-browser code to create and bind to TCP/IP sockets, preventing students from serving their app during development and testing.

We have thus found that the course’s pedagogical aims are served best by providing students with a full-featured Linux environment in some form, even in 2024.

2.1 On-Campus Cluster

As of 2007, students in CS50 (and other courses at Harvard) all had shell accounts and NFS-mounted home directories on an on-campus cluster of Linux servers to which they could connect via SSH, managed by the university itself. We ourselves did not have root access and were thus reliant on the university’s own staff for any installations and updates, which were slow to happen, if only because others might be fixated on other versions.

Starting in 2007, then, we began to install our own versions of software within the course’s own home directory, directing students to run a single command at term’s start (e.g., “cs50/setup.sh”), which would modify their $PATH accordingly by editing one of their dotfiles. Of course, we still didn’t have root access, which meant installing all software locally from source, rather than more conveniently via package managers. And the servers invariably experienced technical difficulties outside of the university’s business hours, which meant delays for fixes, if not extensions for students, even if we ourselves were awake and willing to fix.

2.2 Off-Campus Cloud

In 2008, we thus moved CS50 into the cloud, recreating the on-campus cluster virtually with Amazon Web Services (AWS) [41], using Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2) [16] for virtual machines (VMs) and Elastic Block Store (EBS) [15] for students’ home directories [30], with Simple Storage Service (S3) [40] for backups. On this virtual Linux cluster did students have their own CS50-specific shell accounts, managed by the course’s own LDAP server, also implemented within a VM. And we installed all software globally, so students’ accounts automatically worked as intended.

Via educational grants from AWS [20] (available by application to all educators), this solution was free. But we underrated the time involved in administering our own cluster. And, by 2011, we daresay the novelty had worn off. By that time, too, did the course have a growing OpenCourseWare audience, with the course’s videos, slides, problem sets, and more freely available on the internet could download and use, including our on-campus students.

2.3 Client-Side Virtual Machines

In 2011, we phased out the course’s cloud-based cluster, instead providing students with a downloadable VM, a “CS50 Appliance,” not unlike Griffin et al. [21] and Laadan et al. [28], preconfigured with all of the course’s software that students could run locally on their PC or Mac simply by installing a hypervisor like VirtualBox [49]. The appliance enabled students to run Linux within a window on their own computer (initially Fedora, subsequently Ubuntu) and even a desktop environment (XFce, which enabled graphical problem sets), with clang, gdb, valgrind, and more already installed.

Via open-source software, this solution was free. But development of this appliance was incredibly time-consuming. Even with the build process automated via Kickstart [45] initially and our own packages subsequently, each update thereto might take us hours to export and test. The appliance’s disk image, meanwhile, was nearly 2 GB (even with unneeded packages pruned) and slow for students to download, especially off campus. While the appliance’s post-installation performance was fine on most students’ laptops, lower-end netbooks (at the time) struggled under its weight. And the appliance was slow on most laptops to boot up.

Windows updates at the time, too, had a tendency to break VMs’ virtual network adapters, causing headaches for web apps. Worst, though, at the time were bugs in VirtualBox itself: at one point,
Virtual Machine
Guest OS

Guest OS

Virtual Machine
Guest OS

Figure 1: Virtual machines (VMs) tend to be heavier-weight than containers, in part because each VM runs an entire operating system (OS), a guest OS that runs atop a (type-2) hypervisor, which runs atop a host OS, which runs atop bare metal. Whereas a server might have ample resources only to run, e.g., 3 VMs, that same server might have ample resources to run, e.g., 14 containers, as in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Containers tend to be lighter-weight than virtual machines (VMs), in part because containers share OS kernels via Docker, which runs atop a host OS, which runs atop bare metal (or even a virtual machine). Whereas a server might have ample resources to run, e.g., 14 containers, that same server might only have ample resources to run, e.g., 3 VMs, as in Figure 1.

3 TOWARD CONTAINERIZATION

By 2015, we were eager to transition away from the client-side appliance, even though it had proved precisely the solution we needed up until then. By that time, too, a web-based alternative seemed an obvious direction, but most of the in-browser programming environments available struck us as oversimplified pedagogically, with file systems flattened and terminal commands like `clang` abstracted away entirely as buttons. User-friendly, perhaps, but we were reluctant to provide students with a “toy” environment, lest they not know how or where to write code after term’s end.

Any web-based alternative, we felt, should still have a terminal window, with the browser providing students not only with a GUI but a command-line interface (CLI) to Linux as well. We could not imagine backing each terminal window with a server-side VM, though, as via EC2. With hundreds of students on campus and thousands of students online, the cost of a VM-per-student model would likely exceed any educational grants. Even so, we preferred not to revert to a multiuser model like our on-campus cluster or off-campus cloud, as it had proved helpful for every student to have root access via `sudo` to their appliance, so that they could install packages at will, particularly for end-of-course final projects. And we appreciated that VMs sandboxed potentially malicious (or buggy) code more so than one multiuser system alone.

For an alternative back end, we thus turned to Docker, which implements OS-level virtualization instead, via which multiple “containers” can run in parallel on a host, all of them sharing the same OS kernel. Whereas a virtual machine might take minutes to boot (as was often the case with our own appliance), a container might only take seconds, in large part because the underlying OS is already running. By contrast, each VM on a host must boot its own OS. Containers are much lighter-weight. Per Figures 1 and 2, inspired by Docker’s own [25], whereas a host might have sufficient resources to run a few VMs in parallel, that same host might have sufficient resources to run more containers by an order of magnitude. Docker ultimately allows applications and their dependencies (or, in our case, programming environments) to be “containerized” (i.e., packaged) in an “image” that can be started quickly and portably in production. The containers themselves can even run within a VM, as is now commonplace among cloud providers.

On any server (or desktop or laptop) with Docker Engine [35] installed (for free), you can start one such container running Ubuntu Linux, for instance, with an interactive terminal attached, with just:

`docker run -it ubuntu`

By default, very few packages are preinstalled, so you can alternatively prepare your own custom image by creating a text file called Dockerfile like:

```
FROM ubuntu
RUN apt update && apt install -y clang gdb valgrind
```

You can then “build” and that image with:

`docker build -t NAME .`

and “push” it to a registry [38] with:

`docker push NAME`

wherein `NAME` is a unique identifier for that image. Thereafter, anyone can “pull” and start a container running that same image with just:

`docker run -it NAME`
Figure 3: CS50’s first web-based programming environment, CS50 IDE, was ultimately built atop AWS Cloud9, backed by a Kubernetes cluster of Docker containers. Upon login, students were allocated a newly created container to which their own home directory was attached. Students could then write, debug, and execute code within that container via a web-based UI, complete with a code editor, file explorer, and terminal window, with AWS Cloud9’s default UI both simplified and enhanced for teaching and learning via the course’s own plugins.

4 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

For students, however, it wasn’t a headless, client-side CLI that we wanted but a web-based GUI plus CLI instead, so that students would not need to install any software themselves. Containers running on servers only offered a potential back end. We just needed a front end to which to connect those containers.

4.1 CS50 IDE

For a front end, we initially used Cloud9 IDE [12], an open-source integrated development environment (IDE), complete with code editor, file explorer, and terminal window, backed by per-user Docker containers server-side, precisely the model we had in mind. At the time, it was hosted, along with the back-end containers, by an Amsterdam-based startup, with whom we collaborated to build our own “CS50 IDE” in the cloud, with each student’s container based on our own Docker image. Using Cloud9’s SDK to write plugins, we customized the IDE’s GUI for teaching and learning, hiding features that students would not need, lest they otherwise confuse or overwhelm, and adding features like a "presentation mode" (with enlarged text) for teaching assistants’ sections and a graphical debugger for students, built atop GDB/MI [24]. We also implemented a virtual rubber duck that would just quack pseudorandomly to encourage rubber-duck debugging [23].

In time, Cloud9 was acquired by AWS, and Cloud9 IDE evolved into AWS Cloud9. Unfortunately, AWS Cloud9 assumed a VM-per-user model, but, to reduce computational costs by an order of magnitude, we ultimately reconstructed a container-per-student model, using Kubernetes [27] to orchestrate a cluster of containers ourselves, each connected to the IDE’s front end.

The end result was a full-fledged Linux environment per student in the cloud, tailored to the course’s instructional needs, requiring only that students create an account and log in at term’s start, using only a browser. Once logged in, a container running the course’s own image awaited, to which a persistent home directory was attached for the student, atop which was a GUI, per Figure 3. Educational grants from AWS (available, as before, by application to all educators) covered the cost.

4.2 Visual Studio Code for CS50

Upon AWS’s acquisition of Cloud9, we found ourselves to be system administrators again, with CS50 IDE’s cluster of containers no longer managed by Cloud9 but by us, a distraction from teaching that we had hoped to avoid. Unfulfilled, too, was a desire to offboard students from CS50 IDE to their own PCs and Macs at term’s end so that they could continue to program client-side without the course’s own infrastructure. We encouraged students to install a popular [44] (and free) editor like Visual Studio (VS) Code along with, for instance, a Python interpreter, but that transition from cloud to computer was not nearly as smooth as we would have liked, particularly with the user interfaces so different. Students could alternatively install Docker and run an offline version of CS50 IDE locally, but we preferred that they “graduate” instead from the course’s own interface.

In 2021, though, GitHub launched Codespaces [10], a cloud-based version of VS Code backed by Docker containers. Using Codespaces, we realized, not only could we provide students with a standardized programming environment at term’s start, we could also transition them at term’s end to a nearly identical client-side installation thereof. (Alternatives like Replit [39] and Codio [11] offer the former but not the latter.) We thus re-implemented most of CS50 IDE’s plugins as VS Code extensions using the VS Code API [4]. And we developed a web application at https://cs50.dev/ that, using GitHub’s Codespaces API [2], automates the process of creating, within the course’s own “organization” on GitHub, one “repository” per student, each with its own “codespace” (i.e., container) based on our own Docker image, cs50b/codespace, and redirecting them thereto, with the containers themselves hosted by GitHub, per Figure 4. (See Appendix for image’s Dockerfile.) Via a .devcontainer.json file [34] that we “commit” to each student’s repository via GitHub’s Repos API [3], the course’s extensions are preinstalled in each codespace, and VS Code’s interface is preconfigured with the course’s recommended settings [47]. For instance, we are able to preinstall extensions for C and Python and configure students’ terminal windows to use Bash by default via JSON like the below. (See Appendix for complete .devcontainer.json.)

```json
{
    "extensions": [
        "ms-vscodex.cpptools", "ms-python.python"
    ],
    "settings": {
        "terminal.integrated.defaultProfile.linux": "bash"
    }
}
```
If we happen to update the course’s image mid-semester, we deploy the update to students via a VS Code extension, which prompts them to rebuild their container, preserving their own files therein. Per Figure 5, the end result is, again, a full-fledged Linux environment per student with VS Code as its front end, this time implemented as software as a service (SaaS) managed by GitHub rather than infrastructure as a service (IaaS) orchestrated by us.

CS50’s adaptation of VS Code is freely available to all teachers and students, per the Appendix, and can be used to write, debug, and execute code in any language for which a compiler or interpreter is installed, either in advance in our image or manually thereafter, with (or without) any learning management system. We ourselves have students download problem sets into their codespaces via curl and submit them via another command (or manual upload) to us. In addition to C and Python, our own image includes support for C++, Java, JavaScript (via Node.js), R, Ruby, and SQL (via SQLite). A preinstalled X server and VNC client provide support for graphics as well, as via Swing or Tkinter. The interface automatically detects users’ time zones. And, via language packs, the interface is automatically localized for more than a dozen (human) languages in addition to English.

Offline Support

By way of VS Code’s Remote Development extension pack [13] can students even run VS Code locally when offline but still connect via SSH to their codespace in the cloud when online. If comfortable installing Docker as well, students can even run their own containers locally, completely offline. For those more-comfortable students and especially staff, the course also has a headless image, cs50/cli, that students can use offline without VS Code. (See Appendix for image’s Dockerfile.) The course further provides a command-line tool written in Python, clis50, otherwise known as CS50 CLI, that automates the creation of client-side containers using that image. While the CLI lacks, by design, VS Code’s GUI, it enables students to start, within seconds, a Linux container on their PC or Mac, mounting within it their current working directory. CS50 CLI is also freely available to teachers and students, per the Appendix.

DIY Options

Each of Docker, VS Code, and Codespaces can be used (at no cost by teachers and students) without any dependencies on CS50 itself. Per Section 3, any teacher can create their own Dockerfile and, in turn, image, based or not based on our own. Students can run that same image with docker alone or the teacher’s own command-line wrapper, with or without VS Code. Several of CS50’s extensions can also be independently installed [33]. And any teacher can sign up for GitHub Global Campus [6] to use Codespaces for free in their classes via GitHub Classroom [7], a web application via which students can “accept” a teacher’s assignment, which itself is just a repository with its own codespace, preconfigured with the teacher’s own .devcontainer.js file, per GitHub’s own documentation.

5 RESULTS

Prior to its deprecation, CS50 IDE was used by more than 500,000 students and teachers. And, since its debut in late 2021, CS50’s adaptation of VS Code has been used by more than 700,000 students and teachers so far, most recently averaging more than 1,000 active per day and more than 10,000 per week. Not only has VS Code atop Codespaces supported our pedagogical goals of providing students with a standardized environment for C, Python, and other languages, too, it has also eliminated the need for system administration on our end. Via our own Dockerfile and devcontainer.js file can we still customize students’ containers, preinstalling packages and extensions. That they are containers, too, and not virtual machines, means that students’ codespaces start in just seconds, allowing students to focus on their own work as well. In students’ own words, meanwhile, CS50’s adaptation of VS Code has proved “accessible,” “easy to use,” and “helpful,” and it has “helped with providing ‘training wheels’.” Per one student, “I love how it allowed us to just focus on coding rather than setting up.” Per another, “It made the whole setup process a lot easier, so the focus was more on the learning.” And, as another concluded, “everything just worked.”

Among the few downsides to date is that VS Code’s API for extensions is less featureful in some ways than was Cloud9’s own, and we have not been able to simplify VS Code’s interface to the extent that we would like. We would prefer to hide even more icons and buttons that we do not expect students will use (yet), lest they distract early on. VS Code’s API allows for some customizations, though, that Cloud9 did not, and we anticipate integrating automated feedback for students into VS Code’s UI beyond the graphical debugger alone. Despite our goal of offboarding students from the cloud to their own PCs and Macs toward term’s end, we’ve realized that we ourselves might not have facilitated such sufficiently. Per one student, “Not really sure how to set up an IDE on my computer directly.” We plan to remedy through additional documentation and guidance in future terms.

Since our transition to VS Code atop Codespaces in late 2021, we have already found ourselves with far more (human) cycles than we previously had, enabling us, finally, to focus all the more time on students themselves as well as on development of future extensions for teaching and learning. We now hope, as well, to use GitHub Actions [1], which also supports Docker, to autograde students’ work in containers identical to students’ own.

6 CONCLUSION

For CS50 at Harvard University, we have long provided students with standardized programming environments to reduce technical difficulties at term’s start, to enable students to focus on learning and, ideally, teachers to focus on teaching. What began as an on-campus cluster of Linux servers evolved into a cloud-based implementation of the same, which itself evolved into client-side virtual machines, which most recently evolved into Docker containers with web-based GUIs back in the cloud. Both pedagogically and technologically, our current adaptation of VS Code atop Codespaces is already proving the most successful implementation to date. Not only has the SaaS-based solution allowed us to focus more time on students, without nearly as much time spent on system administration, it has also enabled us to provide students with an experience that begins in the cloud but ends on their own PC or Mac.

Thanks to containerization, students’ programming environments now start within seconds rather than minutes. And we can develop and deploy updates in far less time than before. In fact, we
Figure 4: CS50’s adaptation of VS Code is built atop GitHub Codespaces. When students visit https://cs50.dev/ with their browser, they are routed via an application load balancer (ALB) to one of several front-end web servers. A database stores metadata like the IDs of students’ codespaces, while a GitHub repository stores backups of their home directories. GitHub Codespaces itself provides students with containers.

Figure 5: CS50’s adaptation of VS Code atop GitHub Codespaces provides students with a web-based version of VS Code, connected to a Docker container running the course’s own image, its default UI simplified and enhanced for teaching and learning via the course’s own extensions and settings. The programming environment can also be installed client-side as well.

We are currently experimenting with our own AI-based chatbot within the same [29]. All of our solutions are freely available to teachers and students alike, per the Appendix.

We argue, ultimately, that teachers elsewhere should consider containerization as a compelling alternative to any cluster- or VM-based environments, at least for introductory courses. Courses requiring specialized architectures might still benefit from other solutions. But just as containers have commoditized how applications can be packaged for production, so might containers standardize more easily than ever programming environments for students.

APPENDIX

The course’s adaptation of VS Code atop GitHub Codespaces is freely available for teachers and students at https://cs50.dev/; its Dockerfile and .devcontainer.json are at https://github.com/cs50/codespace. CS50 CLI is freely available for teachers and students at https://pypi.org/project/cli50/; its Dockerfile is at https://github.com/cs50/cli.
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